

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,502 11/13/2001		11/13/2001	Jeremy I. Levin	ACY33464-00 DI 8971	
25291	7590	09/24/2002			
WYETH			EXAMINER		
FIVE GIR	LAW GRO	RMS	SEAMAN, D MARGARET M		
MADISO	N, NJ 0794	10		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1625	
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N	·)	Applicant(s)						
	,	10/055,502		LEVIN ET AL.						
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		D. Margaret Se	eaman	1625						
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears n the c ver sheet with th corresp ndence address									
Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
')□ 2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	— · is action is non-	-final							
3)	, <u> </u>			osecution as to th	e merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
<u> </u>	on of Claims									
•—	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-7 is/are pending in the application.									
	(4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from conside	eration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/ar alastian r	oguirom ont							
8) Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cted to by the Exar	niner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) <u></u>		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT						

Application/Control Number: 10/055,502

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2 and 5-7, drawn to compounds, compositions and methods of treatment wherein Y is phenyl, classified in class 514, subclass 307.
 - II. Claims 1, 2 and 5-7, drawn to compounds, compositions and methods of treatment wherein Y is heteroaryl, classified in various classes and subclasses, depending upon the election of a single disclosed specie.
 - III. Claims 1, 2 and 5-7, drawn to compounds, compositions and methods of treatment wherein Y is aryl other than phenyl, classified in various classes and subclasses, depending upon the election of a single disclosed species.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Unpatentability of the group I compounds would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II-III compounds because the compounds are so divergent that a reference providing a 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection on a member of one group would not render a member of the other groups obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,502

Art Unit: 1625

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to John Hogan on 22 September 2002, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Margaret Seaman whose telephone number is 703-308-4528. The examiner can normally be reached on 630am-4pm, First Friday Off.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,502

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

D. Margaret Seama: Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

dms

September 23, 2002